RESTORATION: POSTERIOR AMALGAM

2025

Tooth #: ______

MO DO MOD

| CRITICAL ERRORS | | |
|------------------------------|----|-----|
| The restoration is fractured | No | Yes |

ACC = Adheres to Criteria SUB= Marginally Substandard DEF= Critically Deficient

| MARGI | IN INTEGRITY AND SURFACE FINISH | | |
|---------|---|--|--|
| _ | Margin Excess/Deficiency | | |
| ACC | A. No marginal deficiency. There is no evidence of pits and/or voids at the cavosurface margin. B. Marginal excess ≤ 0.5 mm at the restoration-tooth interface, detectable either visually or with the tine of an explorer. | | |
| SUB | A. DEFICIENCY: The restoration-tooth interface is detectable visually or with the tine of an explorer, and there is evidence of marginal deficiency ≤ 0.5 mm, which can include pits and/or voids at the cavosurface margin. B. EXCESS: Any marginal excess is detectable visually or with the tine of an explorer, and the discrepancy is > 0.5 mm but ≤ 1.0 mm. | | |
| DEF | A. There is evidence of marginal deficiency of > 0.5 mm which includes pits and voids at the cavosurface margin, and/or there is an open margin. B. There is a marginal excess of > 1.0 mm. | | |
| Adjace | nt Tooth Structure | | |
| ACC | There is no or minimal evidence of unwarranted or unnecessary removal, modification, or recontouring of tooth structure adjacent to the restoration. (Enameloplasty) | | |
| DEF | There is gross enameloplasty. | | |
| CONTO | OUR, CONTACT, AND OCCLUSION | | |
| Interpr | oximal Contact | | |
| ACC | Interproximal contact is visually closed, and the contact is adequate in size, shape, or position but demonstrates little resistance to dental floss. | | |
| DEF | A. The interproximal contact is visually open or concave/irregular, allowing for food impaction. B. The interproximal contact will not allow floss to pass. | | |
| Centric | Centric/Excursive Contacts | | |
| ACC | When checked with articulating paper, all centric and excursive contacts on the restoration are consistent in size, shape, and intensity with such contacts on other teeth in that quadrant. | | |
| SUB | When checked with articulating paper, the restoration is in hyper-occlusion, making it inconsistent in size, shape, and intensity with the occlusal contacts on surrounding teeth, and it requires adjustment. | | |
| DEF | There is gross hyper-occlusion so that the restoration is the only point of occlusion in that quadrant. | | |

Restoration: Posterior Amalgam - continued

| TREAT | TREATMENT MANAGEMENT | | |
|---------|--|--|--|
| Adjace | Adjacent Tooth Damage | | |
| ACC | Any damage to adjacent tooth/teeth can be removed with polishing without adversely altering the shape of the contour and/or contact. | | |
| DEF | There is evidence of gross damage and/or alteration to adjacent and/or opposing hard tissue inconsistent with the procedure. | | |
| Soft Ti | Soft Tissue Damage | | |
| ACC | The soft tissue is free from damage or there is tissue damage that is consistent with the procedure. | | |
| DEF | There is gross iatrogenic damage to the soft tissue inconsistent with the procedure and pre-existing condition of the soft tissue. | | |

RESTORATION: ANTERIOR COMPOSITE

2025

| Tooth #: | | | |
|----------|---|---|---|
| М | D | F | L |

| CRITICAL ERRORS | | |
|---|----|-----|
| The restoration is debonded and/or movable in the preparation | No | Yes |
| The restoration is fractured | No | Yes |

ACC= Adheres to Criteria SUB= Marginally Substandard DEF= Critically Deficient

MARGIN INTEGRITY AND SURFACE FINISH

Margin Excess/Deficiency A. No marginal deficiency. There is no evidence of pits and/or voids at the cavosurface margin. ACC B. Marginal excess ≤ 0.5 mm at the restoration-tooth interface, detectable either visually or with the tine of an explorer. A. DEFICIENCY: The restoration-tooth interface is detectable visually or with the tine of an explorer, and there is evidence of marginal deficiency ≤ 0.5 mm, which can include pits and/or voids at the cavosurface margin. SUB B. EXCESS: The restoration-tooth interface is detectable visually or with the tine of an explorer, and there is evidence of mar ginal excess > 0.5 mm but ≤ 1.0 mm. There is flash with or without contamination underneath, but it is not internal to the cavosurface margin and could be removed by polishing or finishing. A. There is evidence of marginal deficiency of > 0.5 mm, to include pits and voids at the cavosurface margin, and/or there is an DEF open margin, and/or there is internal contamination at the interface between the restoration and the teeth. B. There is a margin excess (excluding bonding agent or unfilled resin) of > 1.0 mm. **Adjacent Tooth Structure** There is no or minimal evidence of unwarranted or unnecessary removal, modification, or recontouring of tooth structure adja-ACC cent to the restoration. (Enameloplasty) DEF There is gross enameloplasty. CONTOUR, CONTACT, AND OCCLUSION **Interproximal Contact** Interproximal contact is visually closed, and the contact is adequate in size, shape, or position but may demonstrate little ACC resistance to dental floss. A. The interproximal contact is visually open or concave/irregular, allowing for food impaction. DEF B. The interproximal contact will not allow floss to pass. Centric/Excursive Contacts When checked with articulating ribbon paper, all centric and excursive contacts on the restoration are consistent in size, shape, ACC and intensity with such contacts on other teeth in that quadrant. When checked with articulating paper, the restoration is in hyper-occlusion, making it inconsistent in size, shape, and intensity **SUB** with the occlusal contacts on surrounding teeth, and it requires adjustment.

There is gross hyper-occlusion so that the restoration is the only point of occlusion in that quadrant.

DEF

Restoration: Anterior Composite - continued

| TREATI | TREATMENT MANAGEMENT | | |
|--------------------|--|--|--|
| Adjace | Adjacent Tooth Damage | | |
| ACC | Any damage to adjacent tooth/teeth can be removed with polishing without adversely altering the shape of the contour and/or contact. | | |
| DEF | There is evidence of gross damage and/or alteration to adjacent and/or opposing hard tissue inconsistent with the procedure. | | |
| Soft Tissue Damage | | | |
| ACC | The soft tissue is free from damage, or there is tissue damage that is consistent with the procedure. | | |
| DEF | There is gross iatrogenic damage to the soft tissue inconsistent with the procedure and pre-existing condition of the soft tissue. | | |

RESTORATION: POSTERIOR COMPOSITE

2025

| Toot | h #: | |
|------|------|-----|
| МО | DO | MOD |

| CRITICAL ERRORS | | |
|------------------------------|----|-----|
| The restoration is fractured | No | Yes |

SUB= Marginally Substandard

DEF= Critically Deficient

MARGIN INTEGRITY AND SURFACE FINISH Margin Excess/Deficiency A. No marginal deficiency. There is no evidence of pits and/or voids at the cavosurface margin. ACC B. Marginal excess ≤ 0.5 mm at the restoration-tooth interface, detectable either visually or with the tine of an explorer. A. **DEFICIENCY:** The restoration-tooth interface is detectable visually or with the tine of an explorer, and there is evidence of marginal deficiency ≤ 0.5 mm, which can include pits and/or voids at the cavosurface margin. SUB B. EXCESS: The restoration-tooth interface is detectable visually or with the tine of an explorer, and there is evidence of marginal excess > 0.5 mm but ≤ 1.0 mm. There is flash with or without contamination underneath, but it is not internal to the cavosurface margin and could be removed by polishing or finishing. A. There is evidence of marginal deficiency of > 0.5 mm, to include pits and voids at the cavosurface margin, and/or there is an DFF open margin, and/or there is internal contamination at the interface between the restoration and the tooth. B. There is a marginal excess (excluding bonding agent or unfilled resin) of > 1.0 mm. **Adjacent Tooth Structure** There is no or minimal evidence of unwarranted or unnecessary removal, modification, or recontouring of tooth structure adja-ACC cent to the restoration. DEF There is gross enameloplasty. Bonding

CONTOUR, CONTACT, AND OCCLUSION

Interproximal Contact

ACC

- ACC Interproximal contact is visually closed, and the contact appears adequate in size, shape, or position, but may demonstrate little resistance to dental floss.
- DEF A. The interproximal contact is visually open or concave/irregular, allowing for food impaction.
 - B. The interproximal contact will not allow floss to pass.

The restoration is bonded to the prepared tooth structure.

The restoration is debonded and/or movable in the preparation.

ACC= Adheres to Criteria

Centric/Excursive Contacts

- ACC When checked with articulating paper, all centric and excursive contacts on the restoration are consistent in size, shape, and intensity with such contacts on other teeth in that quadrant.
- SUB When checked with articulating paper, the restoration is in hyper-occlusion, making it inconsistent in size, shape, and intensity with the occlusal contacts on surrounding teeth, and it requires adjustment.
- DEF There is gross hyper-occlusion so that the restoration is the only point of occlusion in that quadrant.

Restoration: Posterior Composite - continued

| TREATMENT MANAGEMENT | | | |
|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| Adjacent Tooth Damage | | | |
| ACC | Any minimal damage to adjacent tooth/teeth can be removed with polishing without adversely altering the shape of the contour and/or contact. | | |
| DEF | There is evidence of gross damage and/or alteration to adjacent and/or opposing hard tissue inconsistent with the procedure. | | |
| Soft Ti | Soft Tissue Damage | | |
| ACC | The soft tissue is free from damage, or there is tissue damage that is consistent with the procedure. | | |
| DEF | There is gross iatrogenic damage to the soft tissue inconsistent with the procedure. | | |